26

(collectively, the "Parties") are in a dispute regarding the Claims Administrator's recommended distribution of settlement funds:

WHEREAS, on October 11, 2006, the Parties participated in a mediation regarding the dispute;

WHEREAS, the Parties have made progress through the mediation but require additional time in which to pursue settlement efforts;

WHEREAS, the Parties previously stipulated, and the Court ordered, that the Parties would have until October 20, 2006, to meet and confer regarding a compromise as to the distribution of the settlement funds, and if an agreement is not reached, to set a briefing schedule and contact the Court to confirm the briefing dates;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties respectfully request that, in order to allow additional time following the mediation to pursue settlement discussions, the Court agree to extend the date by which the Parties are to submit a proposed briefing schedule if an agreement is not reached, from October 20, 2006, to March 15, 2007.

Dated: October 20, 2006

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

Attorneys for Claimants

Midway Sunset Cogeneration Company

and Aera Energy LLC

1	Dated: October, 2006	BAKER KEENER & NAHRA LLP	
2			
3		ByRobert C. Baker	
4 5		Attorneys for Claimants Sargent Canyon Cogeneration Company, Coalinga Cogeneration Company, Mid-Set	
6		Cogeneration Company, Salinas River Cogeneration Company, Sycamore	
7		Cogeneration Company, and Kern River Cogeneration Company	
8	Dated: October, 2006	THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP	
9	***************************************		
10		Ву	
11		Daven G. Lowhurst	
12		Attorneys for Claimants Shell California Pipeline Company LLC	
13		and Equilon Enterprises LLC	
14	Dated: October, 2006	POORMAN-DOUGLAS CORPORATION	
15			
16			
17		Gene Kennedy El Paso Settlement – Settlement Claims	
18		Administrator	
19	ORDER		
20	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
21	In order to allow additional time following the mediation to pursue settlement discussions,		
22	the Court agree to extend the date by which the Parties are to submit a proposed briefing schedule		
23	if an agreement on settlement is not reached, from October 20, 2006, to March 15, 2007.		
24		MWW L. Kreen	
25	OCT 0 2006	Honorable Ronald S. Plager	
26		Coordination Trial Judge Superior Court of the State of California County of San Diego	
27		County of San Diego	
28 15 &	1-LA/907330.1	2	
AW .	(-W/V 7V (33U.)	3	

MORGAN, LEWIS
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTURNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

Dated: October 20, 2006	BAKER KEENER & NAHRA LLP
	Robert C. Baker Attorneys for Claimants Sargent Canyon Cogeneration Company, Coalinga Cogeneration Company, Mid-Set Cogeneration Company, Salinas River Cogeneration Company, Sycamore Cogeneration Company, and Kern River Cogeneration Company
Dated: October, 2006	THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP
	71
	By Daven G. Lowhurst Attorneys for Claimants Shell California Pipeline Company LLC and Equilon Enterprises LLC
Dated: October, 2006	POORMAN-DOUGLAS CORPORATION
	Gene Kennedy El Paso Settlement – Settlement Claims Administrator

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

In order to allow additional time following the mediation to pursue settlement discussions, the Court agree to extend the date by which the Parties are to submit a proposed briefing schedule if an agreement on settlement is not reached, from October 20, 2006, to March 15, 2007.

Honorable Ronald S. Prager Coordination Trial Judge Superior Court of the State of California County of San Diego

Dated: October, 2006	BAKER KEENER & NAHRA LLP		
	Robert C. Baker Attorneys for Claimants Sargent Canyon Cogeneration Company, Coalinga Cogeneration Company, Mid-Set Cogeneration Company, Salinas River Cogeneration Company, Sycamore Cogeneration Company, and Kem River Cogeneration Company		
Dated: October 2006	THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP		
•	() I no		
	Daven G. Lowhurst		
	Attorneys for Claimants Shell California Pipeline Company LLC		
•	and Equilon Enterprises LLC		
Dated: October, 2006	POORMAN-DOUGLAS CORPORATION		
	Gene Kennedy El Paso Settlement – Settlement Claims Administrator		
ORDER			
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:	•		
In order to allow additional time follow	ing the mediation to pursue settlement discussions,		
<u></u>	Parties are to submit a proposed briefing schedule		

if an agreement on settlement is not reached, from October 20, 2006, to March 15, 2007.

Honorable Ronald S. Prager Coordination Trial Judge Superior Court of the State of California County of San Diego

Dated: October, 2006	BAKER KEENER & NAHRA LLP		
	Robert C. Baker Attorneys for Claimants Sargent Canyon Cogeneration Company, Coalinga Cogeneration Company, Mid-Set Cogeneration Company, Salinas River Cogeneration Company, Sycamore Cogeneration Company, and Kern River Cogeneration Company		
Dated: October, 2006	THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP		
	By Daven G. Lowhurst Attorneys for Claimants Shell California Pipeline Company LLC and Equilon Enterprises LLC		
Dated: October <u>/8</u> , 2006	POORMAN-DOUGLAS CORPORATION		
	Gene Kennedy El Paso Settlement – Settlement Claims Administrator		
ORDER			
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:			
In order to allow additional time following the mediation to pursue settlement discussion			
the Court agree to extend the date by which the Parties are to submit a proposed briefing schedule			

if an agreement on settlement is not reached, from October 20, 2006, to March 15, 2007.

Honorable Ronald S. Prager Coordination Trial Judge Superior Court of the State of California County of San Diego

PROOF OF SERVICE

1

2

3

4

5

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is 300 South Grand Avenue, Twenty-Second Floor, Los Angeles, California 90071-3132.

On October 20, 2006, I served the within document(s):

JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING

6	FUR'		ME FOR DISCUSSIONS REGARDING F SETTLEMENT FUNDS	
7		by transmitting via facsimile forth below on this date before	the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set re 5:00 p.m.	
9	×		sted above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon tates mail at Los Angeles, California addressed as set	
10		by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope and affixing a pre-paid air bill, and causing the envelope to be delivered to a agent for delivery.		
12		by causing the document(s) listed above to be personally delivered to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.		
14 15		by transmitting via electronic person(s) as set forth below.	mail the document(s) listed above to each of the	
16	Robert C. Baker, Esq. Baker, Keener & Nahra 633 West Fifth Street, Suite 5400 Los Angeles, California 90071		Daven G. Lowhurst, Esq. Thelen Reid & Priest LLP 101 Second Street, Suite 1800 San Francisco, California 94105	
18 19 20	Mr. Gene Kennedy Poorman-Douglas Corporation 10300 SW Allen Blvd. Beaverton, Oregon 97005			
21 22 23	I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. Executed on October 20, 2006, at Los Angeles, California.			
24				
25	I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the above is true and correct.			
26	is not and col	. r. w. v	Might	
27			Vivian F. Doni	
8			~	

AN, LEWIS CKIUS LLP RREYS AT LAW IS ANDELES